arXiv:1402.6620v1 [math-ph] 26 Feb 2014

AN EXTENSION OF THE DERRIDA-LEBOWITZ-SPEER-SPOHN EQUATION

CHARLES BORDENAVE AND PIERRE GERMAIN

Abstract. We show how the derivation of the Derrida-Lebowitz-Speer-Spohn equation can be prolonged to obtain a new equation, generalizing the models obtained in the paper by these authors. We then investigate quickly its properties.

1. Introduction

1.1. **The physics.** In [8], Derrida, Lebowitz, Speer and Spohn proposed a simplified model to describe the low temperature Glauber dynamics of the North-East model in the presence of two phases with an anchored interface. It can be described as a Markov process $\eta(t)$ on $\{-1,1\}^n$. The model has two parameters, $\lambda_+, \lambda_- > 0$. Informally, at time $t \geq 0$, each site $x \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ has an independent alarm clock which rings after an exponential random variable with mean $1/\lambda_{\eta_x(t)}$. When the first alarm rings, say at site x, we exchange the values of $\eta_x(t)$ and $\eta_y(t)$ where, if it exists, y is the minimum of all $x < z \leq n$ such that $\eta_z(t) = -\eta_x(t)$. If for all $z > x$, $\eta_z(t) = \eta_x(t)$ we nevertheless invert the value of $\eta_x(t)$. More formally, for $\eta \in \{-1,1\}^n$, the exchange rate $c_{x,y}(\eta)$ between two sites $1 \leq x < y \leq n$ is defined as

$$c_{x,y}(\eta) = \lambda_+ \frac{(1-\eta_y)}{2} \prod_{x \leq z < y} \frac{(1+\eta_x)}{2} + \lambda_- \frac{(1+\eta_y)}{2} \prod_{x \leq z < y} \frac{(1-\eta_x)}{2},$$

and the flip rate $c_x(\eta)$ is defined by

$$c_x(\eta) = \lambda_+ \prod_{x \leq z \leq n} \frac{(1+\eta_x)}{2} + \lambda_- \prod_{x \leq z \leq n} \frac{(1-\eta_x)}{2}.$$

Then, we consider the Markov process $\eta(t) \in \{-1,1\}^n$ which exchanges and flips the values of its coordinates with the above transition rates. This process has the beautiful property that $(\eta_x(t))_{1 \leq x \leq k}$ its restriction to $\{1,\dots,k\}$, with $k < n$, again follows the same dynamics. From the Kolmogorov extension theorem, we can define a Markov process $\eta(t)$ on $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ whose restriction to $(\eta_x(t))_{1 \leq x \leq n}$ is the above Markov process. It is not difficult to check that, for each $n \geq 1$ the processes $(\eta_x(t))_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ is an irreducible Markov process. It has a unique invariant measure. In particular the whole Markov process on $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ admits a unique invariant measure which we will denote by $\mathbb{P}$.

We can alternatively interpret the process as an interacting particle system. For $\eta \in \{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we say that sites such that $\eta_x = 1$ are occupied by a particle and sites such that $\eta_x = -1$ are empty. A site can be occupied by at most one particle. We denote the position of the particles by $1 \leq X_1 < X_2 < \cdots$,

Key words and phrases. Glauber dynamics; Derrida-Lebowitz-Speer-Spohn equation; Nonlinear diffusion.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K35, 35K55, 82C22, 60K35.

P. Germain is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1101269, a start-up grant from the Courant Institute, and a Sloan fellowship. Ch. Bordenave is partially supported by ANR-11-JS02-005-01.

i.e. for integer $k \geq 1$, $\eta_{X_k} = 1$ and $\eta_{X_{k-1}+\ell} = -1$ for $1 \leq \ell < X_k - X_{k-1}$ (with the convention $X_0 = 0$). Then, the Markov process for the particles $X(t) = (X_1(t), X_2(t), \ldots)$ is described as follows. For each $x \in \{X_{k-1}(t) + 1, \cdots, X_k(t) - 1\}$, at rate λ_-, the k-th particle jumps from $X_k(t)$ to x. For each k, at rate λ_+, the k-th particle jumps by one step on its right: it jumps to $X_k(t) + 1$ and pushes all its right neighbors by 1 if they prevent it from jumping to the right. This equivalent description of the process shows its similarity with simple exclusion processes which have been studied extensively in integrable probability, see notably [3, 7, 19] and for general overviews [18, 10, 4]. Other closely related models of spin exchanges are studied in [16, 1]. It seems however that despite the models studied in the aforementioned articles, the Markov process $X(t)$ has no known closed form formula for its marginal at time t. Nevertheless, the process has again the restriction property that the process of the first k-th particle $(X_1(t), \cdots, X_k(t))$ follows the same Markovian dynamics. Using this property, it is again not difficult to check that the Markov process $X(t)$ admits a unique invariant measure on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

1.2. **The magnetization and its asymptotic behaviour.** In [8], the authors are mainly interested by the stationary magnetization. It is the random variable

$$M_n = \sum_{x=1}^{n} \eta_x,$$

where η has the invariant distribution of the Markov process. The variable can also be easily deduced from the particle system X in stationary regime

$$M_n \geq m \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad X_{\frac{m+n}{2}} \leq n.$$

For the remainder of this paper, we set

$$\mu = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_-} - \sqrt{\lambda_+}}{\sqrt{\lambda_-} + \sqrt{\lambda_+}} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_- \lambda_+}}{(\sqrt{\lambda_-} + \sqrt{\lambda_+})^2}$$

(observe that $0 \leq C \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $-1 \leq \mu \leq 1$). The case $\mu = 0$ is called the unbiased (or symmetric) case. The case $\mu \neq 0$, the biased (or asymmetric) case. In [8], based on a non-rigorous approximation, the authors conjecture that if $\mu = 0$, a central limit theorem holds for M_n, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_n}{((3/2)n)^{1/4}} \geq x \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds. \tag{1.1}$$

In the unbiased case, $\mu \neq 0$, [8] conjectures that a different scaling and weak limit appear. Namely, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_n - \mu n}{(\mu C n)^{1/3}} \geq x \right) = Q([x, \infty)), \tag{1.2}$$

for some probability measure Q on $\mathbb{R}$ independent of (λ_+, λ_-). In the unbiased case, the scaling of the variance as $\sqrt{n}$ suggests that the unbiased process falls into the universality class of Edwards-Wilkinson. In the biased case, the scaling $n^{1/3}$ suggests the KPZ universality class, for a recent survey on the latter see [6].

1.3. **A new PDE governing the equilibrium measure.** In [8], the authors derive non-rigorously a PDE associated to the rescaled marginal of M_n. In the present paper, we will revisit the computation of [8] and obtain a generalization of their PDE. To be more precise, we introduce the functions

$$\begin{cases} H_n(m) = \mathbb{E}[\eta_{n+1}|M_n = m] \\ U_n^{\pm}(m) = \lambda_{\pm}\mathbb{E}[K_n^{\pm}\mathbf{1}(M_n = m)] \\ W_n(m) = \mathbb{P}(M_n = m), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the stationary measure $\mathbb{P}$, $\mathbf{1}$ is the indicator function and $K_n^{\pm}$ is the number of successive left neighbors of site n (including n) such that $\eta_x = \pm 1$. The stationarity of the process implies that $U_n^+(m) = U_n^-(m)$ ([8, Eqn (4.18)]). We set their common value to be $U(m)$.

We adopt the ansatz

$$\begin{cases} H_n(m) = \mu + h(\varepsilon^3 n, \varepsilon(m - \mu n)) \\ U_n(m) = u(\varepsilon^3 n, \varepsilon(m - \mu n)) \\ W_n(m) = w(\varepsilon^3 n, \varepsilon(m - \mu n)) \end{cases}$$

Observe that the scaling between n and m is consistent with (1.2). Under a non-rigorous approximation, we show that under this scaling, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, w is governed by the following partial differential equation:

$$\boxed{\partial_t w - \mu C\left(\frac{4}{3}\partial_x^3 w - \partial_x\left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\right)\right) = \varepsilon(2C^2 - 2C)\left(\partial_x^4 w - \partial_x^2\left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\right)\right)} \tag{1.3}$$

(notice that $0 \le 2C - 2C^2 \le \frac{1}{4}$), which can also be written

$$\partial_t w - \mu C\partial_x\left(w^{3/4}\partial_x^2 w^{1/4}\right) = \varepsilon(2C^2 - 2C)\partial_x^2\left(w\partial_x^2 \log w\right).$$

For $\mu = 0$, the leading term vanish and the presence of ε agrees with (1.1). The equation for v such that $w = v^2$ is somewhat simpler: it reads

$$\boxed{\partial_t v - \frac{4}{3}\mu C\partial_x^3 v = \varepsilon(2C^2 - 2C)\left(\partial_x^4 v - \frac{(\partial_x^2 v)^2}{v}\right)} \tag{1.4}$$

or equivalently

$$\partial_t v - \frac{4}{3}\mu C\partial_x^3 v = \varepsilon(2C^2 - 2C)\frac{1}{v}\partial_x\left(v^2\partial_x\left(\frac{\partial_x^2 v}{v}\right)\right).$$

The equations (1.3) and (1.4) generalize the PDEs found in [8], which correspond to the cases $\mu = 0$ and $C = \frac{1}{4}$, or $\mu \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon = 0$.

The equation obtained in the unbiased case $\mu = 0$, $C = \frac{1}{4}$ has been the subject of intensive research in the PDE community, see [2, 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 14, 17] and the references therein; we will come back to the results obtained in these papers in Section 3.

In the biased case $\mu \neq 0$, the equation derived in the present paper, namely (1.4), corresponds to adding a right-hand side to the equation derived in [8]. This seems to reflect an important correction, since it has a dissipative behaviour, thus giving a trend towards an equilibrium as $t \to \infty$. We could not identify this equilibrium in the case where the equation is set in $\mathbb{R}$, which is the most interesting one, but it should be related to the crucial question of the asymptotic invariant measure of the random process under consideration.

1.4. **An instructive analogy: the sum of independent random variables.** In order to better understand the computation which will follow, let us start with the simple example of independent and identically distributed (iid) variables. Assume that $(\sigma_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is a sequence of iid variables on $\{-1,1\}$ with $\nu = \mathbb{E}\sigma_k$, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(\sigma_k = 1) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\sigma_k = -1) = (1+\nu)/2$. We set

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_k$$

and $P_n(m) = \mathbb{P}(S_n = m)$. We have the recursion

$$P_{n+1}(m) = \frac{1+\nu}{2} P_n(m-1) + \frac{1-\nu}{2} P_n(m+1). \tag{1.5}$$

The convergence of a properly rescaled version of P_n to the heat equation could be obtained heuristically as follows. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we may define a function $p_\varepsilon(t,x)$ such that $P_n(m) = p_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^2 n, \varepsilon(m-\nu n))$. We may rewrite (1.5) as, if $t = \varepsilon^2 n$ and $x = \varepsilon(m - \nu n)$,

$$p_\varepsilon(t+\varepsilon^2, x - \nu\varepsilon) = \frac{1+\nu}{2} p_\varepsilon(t, x-\varepsilon) + \frac{1-\nu}{2} p_\varepsilon(t, x+\varepsilon).$$

We now let $\varepsilon \to 0$. The central limit theorem implies notably that $p_\varepsilon/\varepsilon$ converges to a probability density function p. We expand in powers of ε the above identity. The first non-zero term is in ε^2, it gives the PDE

$$\partial_t p = \theta \partial_x^2 p.$$

with $\theta = (1 - \frac{\nu^2}{2})$. We recognize the heat equation in one dimension. Also, for any $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$, we have that $P_n(m) = p_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^2 n, \varepsilon(m-\nu n)) = p_{\varepsilon'}(\varepsilon'^2 n, \varepsilon'(m-\nu n))$. Hence, for any $s > 0$, if we consider the case $\varepsilon' = s\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \to 0$, we deduce that the probabilistically relevant solution of the PDE should also satisfy, $p(t,x) = sp(s^2 t, sx)$. In other words, they should be of the form

$$p(t,x) = g(x/\sqrt{t})/\sqrt{t},$$

for a probability density function g. It follows that g satisfies an ODE which we can of course explicitly solve in this simple case and retrieve the Gaussian density. As in [8], for the DLSS Markov process, we will follow a similar strategy.

1.5. **Plan of the paper.** The non-rigorous derivation of (1.3) and (1.4) is presented in Section 2, while some properties of these equations are analyzed formally in Section 3 . Finally, in Section 4, we discuss the invariant measure for the first particles of the above stochastic process.

2. Derivation of the extended DLSS equation

2.1. **Outline of the derivation.** We will use the equations

$$W_{n+1}(m) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + H_n(m-1)) W_n(m-1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - H_n(m+1)) W_n(m+1) \tag{2.1}$$

$$H_n(m) = \frac{U_n(m+1) - U_n(m-1) + (\lambda_- - \lambda_+) W_n(m)}{U_n(m+1) + (\lambda_- + \lambda_+) W_n(m) + U_n(m-1)} \tag{2.2}$$

$$U_{n+1}(m) = \frac{(\lambda_- W_n(m) + U_n(m+1)(\lambda_+ W_n(m) + U_n(m-1))}{U_n(m+1) + (\lambda_- + \lambda_+) W_n(m) + U_n(m-1)}. \tag{2.3}$$

which appear in [8] as (6.4), (6.9), and (6.10) respectively. These equations rely on the simplifying approximation that, given M_n, η_{n+1} is (approximately) independent of $K_n^{\pm}$. This could be justified heuristically by observing that the DLSS Markov process now defined on $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ instead of $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ preserves the number of $+$ and $-$ sites and, given the number of $+$ and $-$ sites, the invariant probability measure is the uniform measure (see [8]). Hence, we may expect that when n and m are large, $\mathbb{P}(\eta_{n-\ell}=a_\ell, -k\le\ell\le k|M_n=m)$ could be approximated by $\prod_{-k\le\ell\le k}\mathbb{P}(\eta_{n-\ell}=a_\ell|M_n=m)$.

Our plan is now as follows

(1) Expand u in powers of ε, with coefficients depending on w (Section 2.2).
(2) Expand wh in powers of ε, with coefficients depending on w (Section 2.3).
(3) Find the equation satisfied by w (Section 2.4).

2.2. **Expansion of u in ε.** We start with the ansatz

$$u=\alpha+\varepsilon\beta+\varepsilon^2\gamma+\varepsilon^3\delta$$

and aim at determining α, β, γ and δ as functions of w. First, expanding the left-hand side of (2.3) to order 3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} LHS(2.3) &= \alpha+\varepsilon(\beta-\mu\partial_x\alpha)+\varepsilon^2\left(\gamma-\mu\partial_x\beta+\frac{1}{2}\mu^2\partial_x^2\alpha\right)\\ &\quad+\varepsilon^3\left(\delta+\partial_t\alpha-\mu\partial_x\gamma+\frac{1}{2}\mu^2\partial_x^2\beta-\frac{1}{6}\mu^3\partial_x^3\alpha\right)+O(\varepsilon^4) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

while expanding the right-hand side of (2.3) to order 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} RHS(2.3) &= \frac{(\lambda_-w+\alpha+\varepsilon\beta+\varepsilon\partial_x\alpha)(\lambda_+w+\alpha+\varepsilon\beta-\varepsilon\partial_x\alpha)}{2\alpha+2\varepsilon\beta+(\lambda_++\lambda_-)w}+O(\varepsilon^2)\\ &= \frac{(\lambda_-w+\alpha)(\lambda_+w+\alpha)}{2\alpha+(\lambda_++\lambda_-)w}\\ &\quad+\varepsilon\left[\frac{\beta(2\alpha+(\lambda_++\lambda_-)w)+\partial_x\alpha(\lambda_+-\lambda_-)w}{2\alpha+(\lambda_++\lambda_-)w}-\frac{2\beta(\lambda_+w+\alpha)(\lambda_-w+\alpha)}{(2\alpha+(\lambda_++\lambda_-)w)^2}\right]+O(\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

Identifying terms of order 0 and 1 in ε in the left- and right-hand sides of (2.3) leads to

$$\alpha=\sqrt{\lambda_+\lambda_-}w \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta=0.$$

Next, expand the right-hand side of (2.3) to order 3 in ε, taking advantage of the fact that $\beta=0$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} RHS(2.3) &= \left(\lambda_-w+\alpha+\varepsilon^2\gamma+\varepsilon^3\delta+\varepsilon\partial_x\alpha+\varepsilon^3\partial_x\gamma+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2\alpha+\frac{\varepsilon^3}{6}\partial_x^3\alpha\right)\\ &\qquad\times\left(\lambda_+w+\alpha+\varepsilon^2\gamma+\varepsilon^3\delta-\varepsilon\partial_x\alpha-\varepsilon^3\partial_x\gamma+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2\alpha-\frac{\varepsilon^3}{6}\partial_x^3\alpha\right)\\ &\qquad\times\frac{1}{2\alpha+2\varepsilon^2\gamma+2\varepsilon^3\delta+\varepsilon^2\partial_x^2\alpha+(\lambda_++\lambda_-)w}+O(\varepsilon^4)\\ &= A+B\varepsilon+C\varepsilon^2+D\varepsilon^3+O(\varepsilon^4) \end{aligned}$$

where A and B have already been determined, and

$$C = \frac{-(\partial_x\alpha)^2 + \gamma((\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)w + 2\alpha) + \partial_x^2\alpha(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)w)}{2\alpha + (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)w} - \frac{(2\gamma + \partial_x^2\alpha)(\lambda_+ w + \alpha)(\lambda_- w + \alpha)}{(2\alpha + (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)w)^2}$$

$$D = \delta - \frac{2\delta(\lambda_- w + \alpha)(\lambda_+ w + \alpha)}{(2\alpha + (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)w)^2} - \frac{\partial_x\alpha(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-)w(2\gamma + \partial_x^2\alpha)}{(2\alpha + (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)w)^2} + \frac{(\partial_x\gamma + \frac{1}{6}\partial_x^3\alpha)(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-)w}{2\alpha + (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)w}.$$

Using the equality $\alpha = \sqrt{\lambda_+\lambda_-}w$ as well as the definitions of C and μ leads to the more simple formulas

$$C = \sqrt{\lambda_+\lambda_-}\left(\frac{1}{2} - C\right)\partial_x^2 w - \sqrt{\lambda_+\lambda_-}C\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w} + (1-2C)\gamma$$

$$D = (1-2C)\delta + \mu C\sqrt{\lambda_+\lambda_-}\frac{\partial_x w}{w}\left(2\partial_x^2 w - \frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\right) - \mu\partial_x\gamma - \frac{\mu}{6}\partial_x^3\alpha$$

and identifying the terms of order 2 in ε in the left- and right-hand sides of (2.3) gives, respectively,

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_+\lambda_-}}{2}\left(\partial_x^2 w - \frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\right)$$

$$\delta = \sqrt{\lambda_+\lambda_-}\left(-\frac{1}{2C}\partial_t w - \frac{\mu}{3}\partial_x^3 w + \mu\frac{\partial_x w\partial_x^2 w}{w} - \frac{\mu}{2}\frac{(\partial_x w)^3}{w^2}\right).$$

2.3. **Expansion of wh in ε.** Expanding the right-hand side of (2.2) to order 3 in ε gives

$$\mu + h = \frac{(\lambda_- - \lambda_+)w + 2\varepsilon\partial_x\alpha + 2\varepsilon^3\partial_x\gamma + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon^3\partial_x^3\alpha}{2\alpha + 2\varepsilon^2\gamma + 2\varepsilon^3\delta + \varepsilon^2\partial_x^2\alpha + (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)w} + O(\varepsilon^4)$$

or, after replacing α, γ and δ by the formulas derived above,

$$\begin{aligned} wh = {} & \varepsilon 2C\partial_x w + \varepsilon^2\mu C\left(-2\partial_x^2 w + \frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\right) \\ & + \varepsilon^3\left(\mu\partial_t w + C\left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{2\mu^2}{3}\right)\partial_x^3 w - C(1+\mu^2+2C)\partial_x\left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\right)\right) + O(\varepsilon^4). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

2.4. **Equation satisfied by w.** The left-hand side of (2.1) reads, to order 4 in ε,

$$LHS(2.1) = w + \varepsilon^3\partial_t w - \mu\varepsilon\partial_x w + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\varepsilon^2\partial_x^2 w - \frac{1}{6}\mu^3\varepsilon^3\partial_x^3 w + \frac{1}{24}\mu^4\varepsilon^4\partial_x^4 w - \mu\varepsilon^4\partial_t\partial_x w + O(\varepsilon^5),$$

while the right-hand side of (2.1) can be expanded as

$$RHS(2.1) = w + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2 w + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{24}\partial_x^4 w - \mu\varepsilon\partial_x w - \mu\frac{\varepsilon^3}{6}\partial_x^3 w - \varepsilon\partial_x(hw) - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{6}\partial_x^3(hw) + O(\varepsilon^5),$$

which, with the help of (2.5), gives

$$\begin{aligned} RHS(2.1) = {} & w - \varepsilon\mu\partial_x w + \varepsilon^2\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2C\right)\partial_x^2 w + \varepsilon^3\left(\left(-\frac{\mu}{6} + 2\mu C\right)\partial_x^3 w - \mu C\partial_x\left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\right)\right) \\ & + \varepsilon^4\left(\left(-\frac{2}{3}\mu^2 C - \frac{5C}{3} + \frac{1}{24}\right)\partial_x^4 w - \mu\partial_t\partial_x w + C(1+\mu^2+2C)\partial_x\left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\right)\right) + O(\varepsilon^5). \end{aligned}$$

Equating terms of order 4 and 5 on the left- and right-hand sides of (2.1) yields

$$\partial_t w - \frac{4}{3}\mu C \partial_x^3 w + \mu C \partial_x \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w} \right) = \varepsilon(2C^2 - 2C) \left(\partial_x^4 w - \partial_x^2 \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w} \right) \right),$$

which is the desired result.

3. A few properties of the equations

We examine in this section some of the properties of (1.3) and (1.4); we stay at a formal level and do not try to give rigorous proofs.

3.1. **A more precise setting.**

In order to alleviate the notations, we denote in the following

$$K = \frac{4}{3}\mu C \qquad \text{and} \qquad L = \varepsilon(2C - 2C^2)$$

(notice that $L \geq 0$). We let the independent variables (t, x) range over $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}$ or $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, the second case being physically more relevant.

The equation on w (taking values in $\mathbb{R}_+$) reads now

$$\partial_t w - K \left(\partial_x^3 w - \frac{3}{4} \partial_x \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w} \right) \right) = -L \left(\partial_x^4 w - \partial_x^2 \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w} \right) \right) \tag{3.1}$$

while the equation on v (taking values in $\mathbb{R}$) is given by

$$\partial_t v - K \partial_x^3 v = -L \left(\partial_x^4 v - \frac{(\partial_x^2 v)^2}{v} \right). \tag{3.2}$$

3.2. **Questions of sign.**

It seems to be a delicate question to understand how the equations (3.1) and (3.2) are exactly related, the difficulty arising at points where v or w vanish. What is clear is the following: assuming that v is smooth and setting $w = v^2$, a small computation gives that

$$\partial_t w - K \left(\partial_x^3 w - \frac{3}{4} \partial_x \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w} \right) \right) + L \left(\partial_x^4 w - \partial_x^2 \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w} \right) \right) = 2v \left[\partial_t v - K \partial_x^3 v + L \left(\partial_x^4 v - \frac{(\partial_x^2 v)^2}{v} \right) \right],$$

so that w solves (3.1) in a weak sense if v solves (3.2). Notice that w defined by $w = v^2$ is automatically non-negative.

Whether one can always lift (3.1) to (3.2) is unclear, and is related to the following question: assume $w(t = 0)$ does not vanish; can the corresponding solution w of (3.1) vanish at later times? It is a difficult open problem if $K = 0$, where the answer is expected to be negative. For $K \neq 0$, it might be possible that w vahishes for $t > 0$. Indeed, if w remains positive, it means that v keeps a constant sign if it does so initially. But this is known to be false for the Airy equation $L = 0$, thus presumably also if $K >> L$.

We will ignore these questions in the sequel.

3.3. **Symmetries.** Space or time translations of course leave the equation invariant. A more interesting symmetry is given by

$$w \mapsto \lambda w \qquad \text{and} \qquad v \mapsto \lambda v$$

(where λ is non-negative). However, the probabilistic interpretation of the equation requires that w be the density of a probability measure, making its multiplication by a non-negative number physically irrelevant.

For $K = 0$ or $L = 0$, the equation has a scaling symmetry ($v \mapsto v(\lambda^3 t, \lambda x)$ and $v \mapsto v(\lambda^4 t, \lambda x)$ respectively), which is lost for general K and L. The gradient flow structure noticed and exploited in [11, 17] for $K = 0$ is also lost if $K \neq 0$.

3.4. **Lyapunov functions.** On the one hand, it was first noticed in [2] that quantities of the type $\int |w|^\alpha$ or $\int |(w^\beta)_x|^2$ are monotonic for solutions of (3.1) if $K = 0$. The range of β was later extended in [13]. On the other hand, (3.1) is simply Airy's equation if $L = 0$, for which conserved quantities are well-known: $\int v$ and all the L^2-based Sobolev norms $\int |\partial_x^s v|^2$. It is not surprising that Lyapunov functions for the general case $K, L \neq 0$ correspond to these quantities which are invariant or monotonic both if $K = 0$ and $L = 0$:

- The "mass" $\displaystyle\int w\,dx = \int v^2\,dx$ of w is conserved: $\displaystyle\frac{d}{dt}\int w\,dx = 0$ (since w models a density of probability, the physical interpretation is clear).
- The "momentum" $\displaystyle\int v\,dx = \int \sqrt{w}\,dx$ of v is increasing: $\displaystyle\frac{d}{dt}\int v\,dx = L\int \frac{(\partial_x^2 v)^2}{v}\,dx$.
- The "Fisher information" $\displaystyle\int \frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w}\,dx = \int (\partial_x v)^2\,dx$ of w is decreasing:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int v_x^2\,dx = L\int \partial_x^2 v\left(\partial_x^4 v - \frac{(\partial_x^2 v)^2}{v}\right)dx = -L\int \left(\partial_x^3 v - \frac{\partial_x^2 v\,\partial_x v}{v}\right)^2 dx.$$

3.5. **Exact solutions.** For $K = 0$, examples of exact solutions were first given in [2]; we show how some of these examples can be extended to the case $K \neq 0$, providing some insight into the dynamics of this new equation.

- First, it is immediate to check that $v = \sin(x - Kt)$ is an exact traveling wave solution, which becomes stationary if $K = 0$.
- Similarly for $v = \sinh(x + Kt)$.
- Next, it was already noticed that the Airy functions $\mathrm{Ai}(x) = \int_0^\infty \cos(tx + t^3/3)\,dt$ gives, if $K = 0$, the traveling wave $v = \mathrm{Ai}(x - 2Lt)$. It is also well-known that $\frac{1}{t^{1/3}}\,\mathrm{Ai}\left(\frac{x}{(-3Kt)^{1/3}}\right)$ is a solution of the Airy equation obtained if $L = 0$. For $K, L \neq 0$, we were able to find an exact solution based on the Airy function:

$$v(t,x) = \frac{1}{t^{1/3}}\,\mathrm{Ai}\left(\frac{x + \frac{2L}{3K}\log t}{(-3Kt)^{1/3}}\right)$$

  (this formula can be checked directly using the fact that the Airy function Ai solves the ODE $y'' = xy$).
- This remains true if Ai is replaced by the Airy function of the second kind often denoted Bi.

Though these explicit solutions certainly help understanding better the equation, it is not clear how much they say about its large time behavior in the case of finite mass, which is physically relevant.

Indeed, if one thinks of the setting where $x \in \mathbb{T}$, the only acceptable solution in the above list is the periodic one $v = \sin(x + Kt)$. However, it is known to be unstable, at least in the case $K = 0$, see [15]. As for the setting where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the above solutions all have infinite mass, even though the one based on the Airy function decays at infinity.

3.6. **Asymptotic behaviour.**

3.6.1. *The case* $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Without loss of generality, we assume here that $\int w = 1$. For $L = 0$, there is no trend to equilibrium, and w oscillates indefinitely. For $K = 0$, it was proved in [5, 9] that w converges exponentially fast to the constant $w \equiv 1$ (see also [11] for a much more general framework). This remains true for $K, L \neq 0$: we claim that there exists $\mu > 0$ such that the Fisher information of a solution w of (3.1) satisfies for $t \geq 0$

$$\int |\partial_x \sqrt{w(t)}|^2 \, dx \leq \left(\int |\partial_x \sqrt{w(t=0)}|^2 \, dx \right) e^{-\mu L t}$$

Indeed, a small computation gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |\partial_x \sqrt{w(t)}|^2 \, dx = L \int \left(\partial_x^4 w - \partial_x^2 \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w} \right) \right) \left(2 \frac{\partial_x^2 w}{w} - \left(\frac{\partial_x w}{w} \right)^2 \right) dx.$$

The inequality (3.3) in [9] gives a majorization of the above right-hand side by $-\mu L \int |\partial_x^3 \sqrt{w}|^2 \, dx$, for a constant $\mu > 0$. This leads to the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |\partial_x \sqrt{w(t)}|^2 \, dx \leq -\mu L \int |\partial_x^3 \sqrt{w(t)}|^2 \, dx,$$

from which the desired result follows by Poincaré's inequality.

3.6.2. *The case* $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $K = 0$, still under the assumption that $\int w = 1$, it was established in [17] that the solution w of (3.1) converges to a Gaussian:

$$w \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} t^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\sqrt{t}}} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

If $L = 0$, v is simply a solution of the Airy equation, for which the asymptotics are

$$v(t,x) \sim \frac{1}{t^{1/3}} \mathfrak{Re} \left[\widetilde{\mathrm{Ai}} \left(\frac{x}{(-3Kt)^{1/3}} \right) F\left(\frac{x}{t} \right) \right] \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,$$

where F is complex-valued and can be expressed in terms of the Fourier transform of the initial data, while the modified Airy function $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ai}}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ai}}(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{ix\xi + i\frac{\xi^3}{3}} \, d\xi$$

(though this is classical, the only reference we could find is [12], equation (2.3)).

When $K, L \neq 0$, we expect the asymptotic form of the solution to be dictated by the Airy equation in first approximation; indeed, the solution is spreading as $t \to \infty$, and thus $\partial_x^4 v << \partial_x^3 v$. Let us start with the Ansatz

$$v(t,x) \sim \frac{1}{t^{1/3}} \mathrm{Ai}\left(\frac{x+\alpha(t)}{(-3Kt)^{1/3}}\right) F\left(\frac{x+\beta(t)}{t}\right)$$

(we assume for simplicity that F is real-valued - which is hopefully justified! - so that $\mathfrak{Re}\widetilde{\mathrm{Ai}}F$ reduces to $\mathrm{Ai}\, F$). Plugging this Ansatz in 3.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} LHS(3.2) &= \frac{\dot\alpha}{(-3K)^{1/3}t^{2/3})}\,\mathrm{Ai}'\,F + \frac{\dot\beta}{t^{4/3}}\,\mathrm{Ai}\,F' + O\left(\frac{1}{t^{8/3}}\right) \\ RHS(3.2) &= \frac{2L}{(-3K)^{4/3}t^{5/3}}\,\mathrm{Ai}'\,F + \frac{4L}{-3Kt^{7/3}}\,\mathrm{Ai}\,F'. \end{aligned}$$

(where the arguments of Ai and F are understood to be $\left(\frac{x+\alpha}{(-3Kt)^{1/3}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{x+\beta}{t}\right)$ respectively). Equating the above left- and right-hand sides leads to choosing

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{2L}{3K}\log t \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(t) = -\frac{4L}{3K}\log t,$$

in other words to the equivalent for v

$$v(t,x) \sim \frac{1}{t^{1/3}} \mathrm{Ai}\left(\frac{x+\frac{2L}{3K}\log t}{(-3Kt)^{1/3}}\right) F\left(\frac{x-\frac{4L}{3K}\log t}{t}\right).$$

This equivalent should be valid on long time scales, but it is not clear at all if it remains valid as $t \to \infty$, and, if this is the case, what is F.

Indeed, determining the equivalent for v as $t \to \infty$ seems to be a difficult question; but also a quite interesting one, since this is directly related to the asymptotic form of the invariant measure of the DLSS Markov process.

While we are not able to characterize it, it is possible to obtain the invariant measure of the first particles: this is the object of the next section.

4. Invariant probability measure

In this section, we study the invariant probability measure of the DLSS Markov process. We find more convenient to study the interacting particle process. The invariant measure depends only the ratio $\lambda = \lambda_+/\lambda_-$. Without loss of generality, we set

$$\lambda_- = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_+ = \lambda.$$

We restrict our attention to the first n particles $X(t) = (X_1(t), \cdots, X_n(t))$. We set $x_0 = 0$ and consider $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$. If $u(t,x) = P(X(t) = x)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}u(t,x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \lambda u(t, x - e_{i,k}) \mathbf{1}_{x_{i-1} \neq x_i - 1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k} \mathbf{1}_{x_{i+\ell} = x_i + \ell} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{x_{i+1}-x_i-1} u(t, x + ke_i) - \lambda n u(t,x) - (x_n - n)u(t,x), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $x_0 = 0$ and the vectors $e_{i,k}$ and $e_i = e_{i,0}$ are defined by $e_{i,k}(j) = \mathbf{1}(i \le j \le i+k)$. The first double sum corresponds to a move on the right of the i-th particle which may have pushed its right neighbors, the second sum is move on the left of the i-th particle. If $X(t)$ is stationary, i.e. $u(t,x) = u(x) = \mathbb{P}(X = x)$ where $\mathbb{P}$ is the invariant measure, we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda n + (x_n - n))\, u(x) \\ = \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \lambda u(x - e_{i,k}) \mathbf{1}_{x_{i-1} \neq x_i - 1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k} \mathbf{1}_{x_{i+\ell} = x_i + \ell} \; + \sum_{k=1}^{x_{i+1} - x_i - 1} u(x + k e_i) \right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

The function $u = u_n$ depends implicitly on the total number of particles. However, recall that the restriction property of the DLSS process implies that

$$u_n(x) = \sum_{y = x_n + 1}^{\infty} u_{n+1}((x,y)). \tag{4.3}$$

Hence with a slight abuse of notation, we will remove the explicit dependency in n and set for $x \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $u(x) = u_k(x)$.

It is easy to solve (4.2) in the case $n = 1$. It corresponds to the stationary distribution of the first $+$ in the DLSS process. For $x \in \mathbb{N}$, (4.2) reads

$$(\lambda + x - 1) u(x) = \lambda u(x-1) \mathbf{1}_{x \ge 2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(x+k).$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(k) = 1$, we may rewrite the above equation as

$$(\lambda + x) u(x) = \lambda u(x-1) \mathbf{1}_{x \ge 2} + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{x-1} u(k).$$

We can solve this equation by recursion on $x \in \mathbb{N}$, we find

$$u(x) = \frac{x \lambda^{x-1}}{\gamma(x)}$$

where $\gamma(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{x} (\lambda + k)$ is a gamma-type function. It is a direct consequence of the identity

$$\frac{\lambda^x}{\gamma(x)} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{x} \frac{k \lambda^{k-1}}{\gamma(k)}.$$

Note that the expression for $u_1(x)$ implies a faster than exponential tail.

For $n = 2$, the computation is already difficult. For integers $1 \le x < y$, using (4.3), we find similarly

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda + y - 1) u(x,y) \quad &= \quad \lambda u(x-1,y) \mathbf{1}_{x \ge 2} + \lambda u(x-1, y-1) \mathbf{1}_{y = x+1} \mathbf{1}_{x \ge 2} \\ &\quad + \lambda u(x, y-1) \mathbf{1}_{y \neq x+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{y-x-1} u(x+k, y) + u(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{y-x-1} u(x, y-k).\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We see from this expression that $u(x,y)$ could in principle be computed by recursion on $y \ge 2$. Indeed, in (4.4) $u(x,y)$ is expressed in terms of $u(x)$ and $u(x',y')$, $1 \le x' < y' \le y-1$ (this remark extends to

any number of particles $n \geq 1$). The computation of the first terms gives

$$u(1,2) = \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)^2},\ u(2,3) = \frac{\lambda(5\lambda+4)}{(\lambda+1)^2(\lambda^2+3\lambda+4)},\ u(1,3) = \frac{\lambda(2\lambda^2+11\lambda+8)}{(\lambda+1)^2(\lambda+2)(\lambda^2+3\lambda+4)}.$$

We have however not been able to find a closed-form formula for all $x < y$.

References

[1] A. Ayyer, A. Schilling, B. Steinberg, and N. M. Thiery. Markov chains, R-trivial monoids and representation theory. preprint arXiv:1401.4250, 2014.

[2] P. M. Bleher, J. L. Lebowitz, and E. R. Speer. Existence and positivity of solutions of a fourth-order nonlinear PDE describing interface fluctuations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 47(7):923–942, 1994.

[3] A. Borodin and P. L. Ferrari. Large time asymptotics of growth models on space-like paths. I. PushASEP. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 13:no. 50, 1380–1418, 2008.

[4] A. Borodin and L. Petrov. Integrable probability: From representation theory to macdonald processes. preprint arxiv:1310.8007, 2013.

[5] M. Cáceres, J. Carrillo, and G. Toscani. Long-time behavior for a nonlinear fourth-order parabolic equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 357(3):1161–1175, 2005.

[6] I. Corwin. The Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation and universality class. *Random Matrices Theory Appl.*, 1(1):1130001, 76, 2012.

[7] I. Corwin and L. Petrov. The q-pushasep: A new integrable model for traffic in 1+1 dimension. preprint arxiv:1308.3124, 2013.

[8] B. Derrida, J. L. Lebowitz, E. R. Speer, and H. Spohn. Dynamics of an anchored Toom interface. *J. Phys. A*, 24(20):4805–4834, 1991.

[9] J. Dolbeault, I. Gentil, and A. Jüngel. A logarithmic fourth-order parabolic equation and related logarithmic sobolev inequalities. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 4(2):275–290, 2006.

[10] V. Dotsenko. Universal randomness. *Physics-Uspekhi*, 54:259–280, 2011.

[11] U. Gianazza, G. Savaré, and G. Toscani. The Wasserstein gradient flow of the Fisher information and the quantum drift-diffusion equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 194(1):133–220, 2009.

[12] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin. Large time asymptotics of solutions to the generalized korteweg–de vries equation. *Journal of functional analysis*, 159(1):110–136, 1998.

[13] A. Jüngel and D. Matthes. An algorithmic construction of entropies in higher-order nonlinear PDEs. *Nonlinearity*, 19(3):633–659, 2006.

[14] A. Jüngel and D. Matthes. A review on results for the derrida-lebowitz-speer-spohn equation. *Proceedings of EquaDiff07*, 2007.

[15] A. Jüngel and D. Matthes. The Derrida-Lebowitz-Speer-Spohn equation: existence, nonuniqueness, and decay rates of the solutions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 39(6):1996–2015, 2008.

[16] J. L. Lebowitz, C. Neuhauser, and K. Ravishankar. Dynamics of a spin-exchange model. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 64(2):187–208, 1996.

[17] D. Matthes, R. J. McCann, and G. Savaré. A family of nonlinear fourth order equations of gradient flow type. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 34(10-12):1352–1397, 2009.

[18] J. Quastel. Weakly asymmetric exclusion and KPZ. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume IV*, pages 2310–2324, New Delhi, 2010. Hindustan Book Agency.

[19] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom. The Bose gas and asymmetric simple exclusion process on the half-line. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 150(1):1–12, 2013.

CNRS & Université de Toulouse, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France

E-mail address: charles.bordenave@math.univ-toulouse.fr

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 251 Mercer Street, New York 10012-1185 NY, USA

E-mail address: pgermain@cims.nyu.edu